



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/578,606

05/05/2006

Andrew Thomas Busey

1002.12

2371

64494 7590 08/29/2008

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP (SV)

IP DOCKETING

2450 COLORADO AVENUE

SUITE 400E

SANTA MONICA, CA 90404

EXAMINER

TRAN, PHILIP B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2155

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/29/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/578,606	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BUSEY, ANDREW THOMAS	
	<b>Examiner</b> Philip B. Tran	<b>Art Unit</b> 2155	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 May 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/5/06 &amp; 5/6/08 (only page 1 is available for sending out &amp; Pages 2-3 are missing because they are not provided by applicant).</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |



## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brewster et al (Hereafter, Brewster), U.S. Pat. Application Pub. No. US 2002/0147847.

Regarding claim 1, Brewster teaches a method performed by at least one information handling system, the method comprising:

receiving an excerpt of information (i.e., receiving a first document submitted by a first user) [see Fig. 2 and Paragraph 0017 and Page 3, Right Col., Lines 20-21] ; and

in response to the excerpt, performing at least one of the following operations:

in an XML format, automatically storing the excerpt in a folder that is preselected by a first user, wherein the folder is accessible by one or more second users specified by the first user; and,

in the XML format, automatically outputting the excerpt to one or more second users preselected by the first user (i.e., automatically e-mailing XML document to a second user as a recipient indicated by the first user) [see Fig. 2 and Paragraph 0017 and Page 3, Right Col., Lines 30-34].

Regarding claim 2, Brewster further teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the information handling system is a first information handling system, wherein the excerpt has a non-XML format, and comprising:

in response to the excerpt, automatically translating the excerpt from the non-XML format into the XML format, so that the translated excerpt is compatible for operation with a second information handling system of at least one of the second users (i.e., automatically converting received document into XML document before sending to a second user) [see Fig. 2 and Paragraphs 0004-0005 & 0017 and Page 3, Right Col., Lines 22-24].

Regarding claim 3, Brewster further teaches the method of claim 2, wherein the stored excerpt is the translated excerpt [see Fig. 2 and Paragraphs 0004-005].

Regarding claim 4, Brewster further teaches the method of claim 2, wherein the output excerpt is the translated excerpt [see Fig. 2 and Paragraphs 0004-005].

Regarding claim 5, Brewster further teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the information handling system is a first information handling system, wherein the excerpt has an alternate XML format, and comprising:

in response to the excerpt, automatically translating the excerpt from the alternate XML format into a generic XML format, so that the translated excerpt is

compatible for operation with a second information handling system of at least one of the second users [see Fig. 2 and Paragraphs 0005-0006 & 0017-0021].

Regarding claim 6, Brewster further teaches the method of claim 5, wherein the stored excerpt is the translated excerpt [see Fig. 2 and Paragraphs 0004-005].

Regarding claim 7, Brewster further teaches the method of claim 5, wherein the output excerpt is the translated excerpt [see Fig. 2 and Paragraphs 0004-005].

Claims 8-14 are rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claims 1-7.

Claims 15-21 are rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claims 1-7.

***Other References Cited***

3. The following references cited by the examiner but not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

A) Zhang, U.S. Pat. No. 6,865,599.

B) Petersen, U.S. Pat. Application Pub. No. US 2001/0034667 A1.

C) Goodman et al, U.S. Pat. No. 7,120,691.

D) Burton et al, U.S. Pat. No. 7,130,880.

E) Fujisawa et al, U.S. Pat. Application Pub. No. US 2003/0145056 A1.

F) Novak et al, U.S. Pat. No. 7,219,308.

4. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. FAILURE TO RESPOND WITHIN THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE WILL CAUSE THE APPLICATION TO BECOME ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). EXTENSIONS OF TIME MAY BE OBTAINED UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF 37 CAR 1.136(A).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3991. The Group fax phone number is (571) 273-8300. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar, can be reached on (571) 272-4006.

6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Philip B Tran/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2155  
August 14, 2008